Vol. 51, pp. 79-86

May 19, 1938

PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

THE NOMENCLATURE AND TAXONOMY OF THE GENERA OF THE SCARABAEID SUBFAMILY GLAPHYRINAE.

BY EDWARD A. CHAPIN.¹

In rearranging the collections of Glaphyrinae of the United States National Museum it has been necessary to gather the information included in this paper. The questions concerning the nomenclature of the group are dealt with in the first part and the zoological considerations in the second.

PART 1. NOMENCLATURE.

The generic names which have been applied to the various suggested groupings of this subfamily are given below in chronological order.

1. Glaphyrus Latreille.

1802. Hist. Nat. Crust. et Ins., vol. 3, p. 150.

Species included: Melolontha serratulae Fabricius 1792.

Genotype: Melolontha serratulae Fabr. 1792. Monobasic.

Remarks: This genus is usually dated from Latreille 1807, but in the 1802 work cited above a sufficient diagnosis is given and a species is cited. As the genus is monobasic, the type is automatically fixed. The designation of *Melolontha cardui* Fabricius 1787 as genotype (Latreille, 1810, Considérations générales, etc.) is invalid and has no binding effect. Name valid and in current use in its original sense.

2. Amphicoma Latreille.

1807. Gen. Crust. et Ins., vol. 2, p. 118.

Species included: Melolontha melis Fabricius 1792 (with description), [Melolontha] cyanipennis [Fabricius 1801], [Melolontha] hirta [Fabricius 1792], [Melolontha] vulpes [Fabricius 1792], [Melolontha] bombylius [Fabricius 1787], [Melolontha] vittata [Fabricius 1775], (these five by specific name only), Melolontha abdominalis Fabricius 1781 (with description).

20-PROC. BIOL. SOC. WASH., VOL. 51, 1938.

¹Published with permission of the Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution.

Genotype: Melolontha abdominalis Fabr. 1781. Subsequent designation of Latreille 1810.

Remarks: The type of this genus was fixed by Latreille in 1810, Considérations générales, etc., and since the species was originally included in the genus and no type having previously been designated, the acceptance of this type fixation is obligatory under the International Code. Name valid but in current use in other than its nomenclaturally correct use.

3. Anthypna Eschscholtz.

1818. Mem. Acad. Imp. Sci. St. Petersburg, vol. 6, p. 472.

Species included: Melolontha ursus Fabricius 1775, Melolontha bombyliformis Fabricius 1801 [=bombyliformis Pallas 1781], Melolontha arctos Herbst 1790 [=arctos Pallas 1781], Melolontha lynx Fabricius 1776, Melolontha crinita Fabricius 1776, Melolontha cyanipennis Fabricius 1801, Melolontha hirta Fabricius 1792, Melolontha vulpes Fabricius 1792.

Genotype: Melolontha cyanipennis Fabricius 1801. Present designation. Remarks: The first, fourth and fifth species listed above belong in the genus Anisonyx Latr. 1807 of the Melolonthinae. If any one of these is picked as type, the name will leave the Glaphyrinae and fall as a synonym of Anisonyx Latr. On the other hand, if any one of the remaining names is selected, the name stays in the Glaphyrinae and becomes synonymous with Amphicoma Latr. 1829, but not with Amphicoma Latr. 1807. There is no possible way under the International Code to maintain the name in its present sense. It seems best, all things considered, to keep the name in the Glaphyrinae and for that reason the writer selects the sixth of the contained species as genotype. With Latreille's 1810 type fixation for Amphicoma, Eschscholtz was entirely justified in proposing a new name for the then nameless portion of Amphicoma Latr. 1807, and if Latreille had not vacillated in his use of the name Amphicoma, there would be no conflict between the correct and the current usage of these names to-day. Name valid but in current use in other than its nomenclaturally correct use.

4. Cratoscelis Erichson.

1835. Arch. f. Naturg., vol. 1, part 1, p. 267.

Species included: Cratoscelis vulpina Erichson, n. sp., Cratoscelis discolor Erichson, n. sp.

Genotype: Cratoscelis vulpina Erichson 1835. Present designation.

Remarks: Unfortunately, the name *Cratoscelis* was published in connection with a figure and word description the preceding year (1834. Ann. Soc. Ent. France, vol. 3, p. 361, pl. 7, figs. 3-4) by Lucas. Name invalid, see *Arctodium* Burmeister 1844.

5. Lichnia Erichson.

1835. Arch. f. Naturg., vol. 1, part 1, p. 269. Species included: *Lichnia limbata* Erichson, n. sp. Genotype: *Lichnia limbata* Erichson 1835. Monobasic. Remarks: Name valid and in current use in its original sense.

6. Pachymerus Faldermann.

1835. Mem. Soc. Nat. Moscou, vol. 4, p. 281.

Species included: Pachymerus micans Faldermann, n. sp., Scarabaeus oxypterus Pallas 1771.

Genotype: Pachymerus micans Faldermann 1835. Present designation. Remarks: This name is preoccupied by Pachymerus Thunberg 1805 and hence is invalid in this sense. Furthermore, both of the included species belong in the older genus Glaphyrus Latr. 1802. Name invalid, see Glaphyrus Latr. 1802.

7. Arctodium Burmeister.

1844. Handb. d. Ent., vol. 4, part 1, p. 9.

Species included: Those belonging to Cratoscelis Erichson 1835, to wit, Cratoscelis vulpina Erichson 1835, Cratoscelis discolor Erichson 1835.

Genotype: Cratoscelis vulpina Erichs. 1835. By substitution.

Remarks: Although proposed by Dejean in his 1833 Catalogue, it remained for Burmeister to validate the name by connecting it with a described species. It is the only available substitute for *Cratoscelis* Erichson 1835 nec *Cratoscelis* Lucas 1834. Name valid and should replace *Cratoscelis* Erichs.

8. Lichnanthe Burmeister.

1844. Handb. d. Ent., vol. 4, part 1, p. 26.

Species included: Amphicoma vulpina Hentz 1826.

Genotype: Amphicoma vulpina Hentz 1826. Monobasic.

Remarks: Name valid nomenclaturally and available for use.

9. Psilodema Blanchard.

1845. Hist. d. Ins., vol. 1, p. 211, 235.

Species included: Melolontha melis Fabricius 1792.

Genotype: Melolontha melis Fabr. 1792. Monobasic.

Remarks: Isogenotypic, through synonymy, with Anthypna Eschz. 1818. Name invalid, see Anthypna Eschz. 1818.

10. Dasychaeta Erichson.

1847. Arch. f. Naturg., vol. 13, part 1, p. 104.

Species included: Dasychaeta lateralis Erichson, n. sp.

Genotype: Dasychaeta lateralis Erichs. 1847. Monobasic.

Remarks: Name valid and in current use in its original sense.

11. Eulasia Truqui.

1848. Studi entomologici, vol. 1, part 1, p. 16.

Species included: Amphicoma papaveris Sturm. 1843, Melolontha vittata Fabricius 1775, Amphicoma goudoti Castelnau 1840, Amphicoma lasserrei Gemar 1834, Melolontha bombylius Fabricius 1787, Eulasia genei Truqui, n. sp., Eulasia pretiosa Truqui, n. sp., Scarabaeus arctos Pallas 1781, Scarabaeus bombyliformis Pallas 1781, Amphicoma bicolor Waltl. 1838, Eulasia hyrax Truqui, n. sp., Melolontha vulpes Fabricius 1792, Scarabaeus syriacus Linne. 1758.

82 Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington.

Genotype: Amphicoma papaveris Sturm. 1843. Present designation.

Remarks: In subdividing the genus *Amphicoma* of authors (nec *Amphicoma* Latreille 1807), Truqui correctly assigned the name to those species with simple mandibles. For the species with dentate mandibles he proposed the new name Eulasia. Name valid and in use in its original sense though reduced to subgeneric rank.

12. Pygopleurus Motschulsky.

1859. Etudes ent., part 8, p. 162.

Species included: Scarabaeus syriacus Linne. 1758, Melolontha vulpes Fabricius 1792.

Genotype: Melolontha vulpes Fabricius 1792. Present designation.

Remarks: Motschulsky suggests the division of Amphicoma Latr. 1829 into four genera. The species referred to Pygopleurus fall into the second section of Truqui's genus *Eulasia*, having tridentate mandibles. An additional character not mentioned by Motschulsky is found in the shape of the scutellum. Name valid and in current use as a subgenus of Amphicoma Latr. 1829.

13. Trichopleurus Motschulsky.

1859. Etudes ent., part 8, p. 162.

Species included: Melolontha bombylius Fabricius 1787.

Genotype: Melolontha bombylius Fabr. 1787. Monobasic.

Remarks: Nomenclaturally valid but zoologically hardly distinct from *Eulasia* Truqui 1848.

14. Dasydera Leconte.

1861. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia, p. 345.

Species included: Dasydera ursina Leconte, n. sp.

Genotype: Dasydera ursina Lec. 1861. Monobasic.

Remarks: Nomenclaturally valid but zoologically hardly distinct from Lichnanthe Burm. 1844.

15. Toxocerus Fairmaire.

1891. C. R. Soc. ent. Belgique, vol. 35, p. vii.

Species included: Toxocerus rothschildi Fairmaire, n. sp.

Genotype: Toxocerus rothschildi Fairm. 1891. Monobasic.

Remarks: This genus was differentiated from Anthypna auct. by the much greater development of the antennal club. Since its description more species have come to light which tend to bridge the gap between the types. Name nomenclaturally valid but zoologically hardly distinct from Amphicoma Latr. 1807 (=Anthypna auct. nec Eschz.).

16. Arrhephora Fairmaire.

1891. C. R. Soc. ent. Belgique, vol. 35, p. viii.

Species included: Arrhephora chalcochrysea Fairmaire, n. sp. Arrhephora dolorosa Fairm., n. sp., Arrhephora corinthia Fairm., n. sp.

Genotype: Arrhephora chalcochrysea Fairm. 1891. Present designation.

Chapin—The Genera of Scarabaeid Subfamily Glaphyrinae. 83

Remarks: With additional material before him, Fairmaire suppressed this genus as a synonym of *Toxocerus*. Name valid nomenclaturally but zoologically hardly distinct from *Amphicoma* Latr. 1807 (*Anthypna* auct. nec Eschz.).

17. Hemiglaphyrus Champenois.

1903. L'Abeille, vol. 30, p. 145.

Species included: Glaphyrus caucasicus Kraatz 1882, Glaphyrus modestus Kiesenwetter 1858.

Genotype: Glaphyrus caucasicus Kraatz 1882. Present designation.

Remarks: Name valid and in current use as a subgenus of *Glaphyrus* Latr. 1802.

18. Solskiola Semenov.

1903. Revue Russe d'Ent., vol. 3, p. 391.

Species included: Amphicoma analis Solsky 1876.

Genotype: Amphicoma analis Solsky 1876. Monobasic.

Remarks: Name valid and in current use as a subgenus of Anthypna Eschz. 1818 (—Amphicoma Latr. 1829 et auct.).

19. Eoglaphyrus Semenov.

1926. Revue Russe d'Ent., vol. 20, p. 51.

Species included: Glaphyrus turkestanicus Sem. 1889 (—Glaphyrus sogdianus Sem. 1892), Glaphyrus turkestanicus bicolore Sem. (new name for sogdiana Champ., Rttr.).

Genotype: Glaphyrus turkestanicus Sem. 1889. Present designation.

Remarks: Name valid and in current use as a subgenus of Glaphyrus Latr. 1802.

The following is a summary of the valid names in the Glaphyrinae, with synonymy:

1. Genus Lichnia Erichson 1835.

No subgenera; no synonyms.

2. Genus Arctodium Burmeister 1844.

No subgenera; synonym Cratoscelis Erichson 1835, not Lucas 1834.

3. Genus Dasychaeta Erichson 1847.

No subgenera; no synonyms.

Genus Glaphyrus Latreille 1802.
Subgenus Glaphyrus s. str.; synonym Pachymerus Faldermann 1835.
Subgenus Hemiglaphyrus Champenois 1903; no synonyms.
Subgenus Eoglaphyrus Semenov 1926; no synonyms.

5. Genus Anthypna Eschscholtz 1818.

Subgenus Anthypna s. str.; synonym Amphicoma Latreille 1829, not Latreille 1807, Psilodema Blanchard 1845.

Subgenus Eulasia Truqui 1848; synonym Trichopleurus Motschulsky 1859.

Subgenus Pygopleurus Motschulsky 1859; no synonyms.

Subgenus Solskiola Semenov 1903; no synonyms.

6. Genus Amphicoma Latreille 1807.

No subgenera; synonyms Anthypna Latreille 1829, not Eschscholtz 1818, Toxocerus Fairmaire 1891, Arrhephora Fairmaire 1891.

PART 2. TAXONOMY.

Subfamily Glaphyrinae.

Diagnosis: Scarabaeidae with seven (Lichnini) or eight (Glaphrini) pairs of functional abdominal¹ spiracles, the eighth pair, when present, situated on the pygidium; with nine-segmented (Lichnini) or ten-segmented (Glaphyrini) antennae, the last three segments enlarged to form an ovate or elongate club; with well developed corneous mandibles which, with the labrum, are horizontally extended; abdominal sternites ankylosed (Lichnini) or free (Glaphyrini); abdomen not (Lichnini) or strongly (Glaphyrini) inflated, body generally pilose.

From the above it may be seen that the South American components of the subfamily (Lichnini) are quite different from the group of genera native to the northern hemisphere (Glaphyrini). It would not be unreasonable to consider that the two groups are both of subfamily rank. Since, however, the two seem to be more closely related to one another than to any other of the numerous subfamilies, little would be gained by their separation.

Through the Glaphyrini, the subfamily appears to be most closely related to the Hybosorinae. In certain of the species of *Glaphyrus* Latr. the antennal structure typical of the Hybosorinae is found and the presence of a pair of functional spiracles on the pygidium in both groups seems significant. In fact, the only character that the writer can find by which the Glaphyrini can be separated from all of the Hybosorinae, other than that of pilosity which is relative, is in the inflated abdomen with free sternites. On the other hand, the Lichnini are separable from both groups by the nine-segmented antennae. The Lichnini appear most closely related to the Glaphyrini through Amphicoma Latr.

KEY TO THE GENERA AND SUBGENERA OF GLAPHYRINAE.

1.	Antennae nine-segmented (Tribe Lichnini)
	Antennae ten-segmented (Tribe Glaphyrini)4
2.	Eyes not completely divided by canthus; maxilla short
	Dasychaeta Er.
	Eyes completely divided by canthus
3.	Maxilla elongate, filiform, about half as long as entire body
	Lichnia Er.
	Maxilla not much longer than maxillary palpus. Arctodium Burm.
4.	Segments of anterior tarsus of male pectinate
	Segments of anterior tarsus of male not pectinate
5.	Anterior tibia of male armed on inner margin before apex with a
	long perpendicular spineSolskiola Sem.
	Anterior tibia of male not so armed

1Arrow (1909, Trans. Ent. Soc. London, p. 481) considers the first and largest of the series of spiracles as belonging to the metathorax. The writer prefers to consider it as the first abdominal for the following reasons: (1) the metathoracic spiracle in coleopterous larvae is always, as far as he can ascertain, rudimentary and should not logically be followed in the imago by a fully functional spiracle and (2) there is otherwise no spiracle to correspond with the first abdominal segment.

Chapin—The Genera of Scarabaeid Subfamily Glaphyrinae. 85

6	Mandibles depressed, simple, evenly curved externally and
	apicallyAnthypna Esch.
	Mandibles depressed, bifid or trifid along inner apical margin
7.	Scutellum elongage-triangularPygopleurus Mots.
	Scutellum short, rounded behindEulasia Truqui
8.	Mandibles compressed, apically bifid; each segment of anterior
	tarsus of male edged with row of stiff spines
	Mandibles depressed, evenly curved, the external margin some-
	times strongly elevated
9.	All segments of antennal club equally developed, free
	Hemiglaphyrus Champ.
	Basal segment of antennal club cupuliform, receiving the other
	club segments within its cavity10
10.	Epipleural margin of elytron sharp, cariniformEoglaphyrus Sem.
	Epipleural margin of elytron costiform
11.	External margin of mandible not strongly elevated, mandible
	obliquely carinate dorsally; first four segments of anterior
	tarsus of male lamellate internallyAmphicoma Latr.
	External margin of mandible strongly elevated, mandible not
	carinate dorsally; anterior tarsus of male not modified

Lichnanthe Burm.

Lichnini, new tribe.

Diagnosis: Glaphyrinae with filiform, plumose maxillae which are sometimes very elongate, with nine-segmented antennae, with seven pairs of functional abdominal spiracles and with the abdominal sternites ankylosed.

Distribution: South America (Peru and Chile).

Of the three genera which compose this tribe, two are available to the writer for study. These are readily separated, but by characters which seem upon study to be rather trivial. The discovery of additional species in the future may give reason for merging them. The third genus, *Dasychaeta* Er., unknown to me except by description, offers one character which suggests that the genus may be a connecting link between the Lichnini and the Glaphyrini. This is the incomplete division of the eye by the canthus.

Glaphyrini, new tribe.

Diagnosis: Glaphyrinae with truncate maxillae, with ten-segmented antennae, with eight pairs of functional spiracles, with the abdomen inflated and with free sternites.

Distribution: southern portion of the northern hemisphere.

This tribe embraces four genera, each of which has in the past been subdivided. Two of these are palaearctic in range, one is both palaearctic and oriental and one purely nearctic.

Glaphyrus Latr.—This genus is here considered as made up of three groups of subgeneric rank. *Hemiglaphyrus* Champenois might quite legitimately be raised to full generic status as the characters suggested

86 Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington.

indicate a transitional form in the direction of *Amphicoma* Latr. *Eogla-phyrus* Semenov appears to be less well grounded, only one of the mentioned characters serving to set it off from the rest of the genus and this character not one of great weight. However, without study of the actual type species the writer accepts Semenov's conclusion.

Anthypna Esch.—Four groups of subgeneric rank are here accepted as composing this genus. *Pygopleurus* Motschulsky and *Eulasia* Truqui are distinct from each other and from *Anthypna* s. str. in both sexes and appear to be well grounded. *Solskiola* Semenov is based on a single character found only in the male sex of a single species. Without a knowledge of the structure of the mandible, one is at a loss to assign it to its proper subgenus and it is hence left as described. It is probably an Eulasia.

Amphicoma Latr.—The outstanding characters of this genus are the dorsally carinate mandibles and the modifications of the anterior tarsi and intermediate tibiae of the males. Four species of *Toxocerus* Fairm. are before the writer, as well as *A. pectinata* Lewis and the two Mediterranean species. It seems impossible to find valid characters to sustain *Toxocerus* Fairm. so that genus has been merged with *Amphicoma* Latr.

Lichnanthe Burm.—All of the known nearctic forms are referable to this genus.